

Citation for published version:

Oehlers, DJ, Visintin, P, Chen, JF & Ibell, TJ 2014, 'Simulating reinforced concrete members. Part 1: Partial interaction properties', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Structures and Buildings*, vol. 167, no. 11, pp. 646-653. <https://doi.org/10.1680/stbu.13.00071>

DOI:

[10.1680/stbu.13.00071](https://doi.org/10.1680/stbu.13.00071)

Publication date:

2014

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1680/stbu.13.00071)

The final publication is available at ICE publishing via <https://doi.org/10.1680/stbu.13.00071>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Simulating RC members

Part 1: Partial interaction properties

Deric J. Oehlers

Emeritus Professor, School of Civil, Environmental and Mining Engineering,
University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

Phillip Visintin

Lecturer, School of Civil, Environmental and Mining Engineering, University of
Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

Jian-Fei Chen

Professor, School of Planning, Architecture and Civil Engineering, Queen's
University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom.

Tim J. Ibell

Professor, Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath
Bath, United Kingdom.

Reinforced concrete (RC) members are extremely complex under loading because of localised deformations in the concrete (cracks and sliding planes) and between the reinforcement and concrete (slip). An ideal model for simulating the behaviour of RC members should simulate both the global behavior and, in particular, the localised behaviours that are seen and measured in practice as these localised behaviours directly affect the global behaviour. This may seem an obvious statement. However, most commonly used models do not directly simulate the localised behaviours that can be seen or measured in real members such as: crack widening through slip between the reinforcement and concrete; the formation and sliding of concrete wedges in high compression zones; and rigid body shear displacements due to interface sliding where there are high shear forces. Instead, these models overcome these limitations by frequently using empirically or semi-empirically derived strain based pseudo properties such as the use of: effective flexural rigidities for deflection; plastic hinge lengths for strength and ductility; and energy based approaches for both concrete softening in compression and concrete softening after tensile cracking to allow for tension stiffening. The vast majority of RC member experimental testing is associated with deriving these pseudo properties for use in RC design and analysis, hence, this component of development is very costly and the aim of this research is to reduce this cost substantially. In this paper the localised material behaviours and the mechanisms they induce are first described. Their incorporation into RC member behaviour without the need for empirically derived pseudo properties is then described in a companion paper.

Keywords: Buildings, structures and Design; Strength and testing of materials;
Concrete structures.

Notation

A_c cross-sectional area of concrete

A_r	cross-sectional area of reinforcement
d	depth of section
$d\delta/dx$	slip strain
E_c	concrete modulus
E_r	reinforcement modulus
EI	flexural rigidity
f_c	concrete compressive strength
f_t	concrete tensile strength
L	length of cylinder or prism
M	moment
N_c	component of P_c normal to sliding plane
P	axial force in reinforcement at a crack; applied load
P_c	axial force in concrete element
S_{cr}	primary crack spacing
T_c	component of P_c along sliding plane
w	crack width; widening across sliding plane
Δ	reinforcement slip relative to crack face; half crack width
Δd	lateral slip
ΔL	longitudinal slip
ΔP	change in P due to shear sliding
$\Delta\sigma_n$	change in σ_n due to shear sliding
α	wedge angle
χ	curvature
δ	slip along sliding plane
δ_1	slip corresponding to τ_{max}
δ_{max}	slip when τ tends to zero
ϵ	strain
ϵ_a	axial strain
ϵ_{asc}	strain in ascending branch
ϵ_{des}	strain in descending branch
ϵ_{eff}	effective strain
ϵ_{mat}	material strain
ϵ_r	axial strain in reinforcement
ν_c	Poisson ratio of concrete material
σ	stress
σ_a	axial stress
σ_n	stress normal to sliding plane
σ_s	stress at start of softening
τ	shear stress
τ_{max}	maximum shear strength of bond

1. Introduction

Reinforced concrete (RC) has been a great invention in making good use of both concrete and steel, but the low tensile strength of concrete means that most RC members work with localised deformations due to cracks and sliding planes, and with slip between the reinforcement and concrete, characteristics which are seen and measured in practice. The localised nature of these deformations makes the behavior of RC members extremely complex as it is these localised behaviours which control the global behavior of RC members. Thus it is very important that these behaviours be simulated

(Oehlers 2010a; Oehlers et al 2011a, 2011b, 2012) in order to accurately simulate the global behaviours.

For RC members, the localised behaviours are: the slip between the reinforcement and the adjacent concrete which controls crack spacings and widths and the variation in tensile strain along the cracked region (Gupta and Maestrini 1990; Wu et al 1991; Teng et al. 2006; Chen et al 2007; Muhamad et al 2011; Chen et al 2012; Visintin et al 2012; Muhamad et al 2013; Knight et al 2013); the formation of wedges in concrete compression zones (Harmon et al. 1998; Van Mier and Man 2009; Mohamed Ali M.S. et al 2010) which allows large compressive deformations to be accommodated by sliding along the concrete to concrete wedge interface (Mohamed Ali et al 2010; Chen et al 2013); the rigid body shear sliding across a concrete to concrete interface associated with shear failure of a member (Haskett et al 2011; Lucas et al 2011); discrete zones of high rotation often associated with wedge sliding and large crack widths and referred to as plastic hinges (Baker 1956; Corley 1966; Mattock 1967; Panagiotakos and Fardis 2001; Haskett et al 2009a, 2009b) and which affect moment redistribution (Oehlers et al 2010b; Haskett et al 2010); and time dependent deformations such as due to creep, shrinkage, relaxation and deterioration (Faber 1927; Bresler and Selna 1964; Bazant 1972; Visintin et al 2013).

The behaviour of RC members is governed by the strain based material properties, such as the commonly used stress/strain (σ/ϵ) relationship. However, the behaviour is also governed by slip across interfaces and these will be referred to as the partial-interaction (PI) material properties which includes the bond-slip (τ/δ) between the reinforcement and its adjacent concrete (Seracino et al 2007; Wu and Zhao 2012) and less understood shear-friction properties across a concrete-concrete sliding plane (Birkeland and Birkeland 1966; Hofbeck et al. 1969; Mansur et al. 2008; Haskett et al 2011). It is these PI material properties which make the local behaviour and consequently the global behaviour of RC very complex and difficult to simulate particularly using strain based approaches, such as continuum based approaches without due consideration of deformation localisation.

To help simplify the incredible complexity of RC member behaviour, we will in this paper:

- Define pseudo properties as opposed to real properties.
- Explain the range of material properties and in particular the PI material properties that are those associated with slip.
- Explain the mechanisms by which these PI material properties cause tension stiffening, concrete softening and shear sliding.

and then in a companion paper (Oehlers et al 2013) show how these PI material properties and mechanisms can be included in RC member analyses.

2. Definition of pseudo properties

Let us define a material property as the behaviour of a relatively small element of the material that can be applied at discrete points in a model such as the σ/ϵ relationship. With this in mind, let us define the pseudo material property as a property, given in material terms such as in terms of stress and strain, that not only allows for material behaviour but also for the mechanics within an element or small region of the member such as occurs with tension stiffening. Hence, the pseudo material property can vary not only with variations in the material properties but also with variations in the

mechanics within an element. Pseudo material properties can be derived through mechanics but are often quantified through experimental testing.

A sectional property is the behaviour of a section of a member that can be derived through mechanics, for example the flexural rigidity (EI) which depends on both the material modulus and geometries of the section. Let us define a pseudo sectional property as a sectional property which allows for the mechanics of a segment or small length of the member as opposed to just that at a section; an example is the effective flexural rigidity commonly used in deflection calculations. Hence, pseudo sectional properties can vary with material properties, geometries of the section, the mechanisms within the member in the vicinity of the section and under different loadings. Pseudo sectional properties may be derived through mechanics but are usually determined either directly through experimental testing or a component of the mechanics model is determined through experimental testing such as hinge lengths.

3. Material properties for RC mechanisms

Two types of material properties are required for simulating the failure mechanisms of RC structures: the commonly used strain based material properties, such as the direct relationship between the axial stress and axial strain (σ_a/ϵ_a) and its associated time dependent properties of shrinkage, creep, relaxation and deterioration which may be referred to as continuum based properties; and the less used and often less understood PI material properties associated with slip across an interface such as the bond-slip and shear-friction properties which could also be referred to as discrete deformation properties.

3.1 Strain based material properties

A strain based material property is simply the relationship between the stress and strain of an element of the material which can then be applied at discrete points in the analysis of a member. However, determining the strain based material properties may not be as simple as it first appears. As an example, let us consider a standard compression test on a cylinder of concrete as in Figure 1. Attaching relatively small strain gauges around the circumference in line with the length L of the cylinder will provide a local or material ascending stress/strain relationship such as O-A-B in Figure 2 which peaks at the maximum strength f_c .




Figure 1. Concrete compression test




Figure 2. Concrete deformation in strains

In contrast to using the local strains as measured through strain gauges in Figure 1, measuring the overall contraction between platens at the ends of the cylinder and dividing these contractions by the length of the cylinder L will give a global or pseudo material stress/strain relationship such as O-A-C-D for the specimen of length L_1 in Figure 2. The global stress/strain relationship is characterised by a falling branch even though this has not occurred in the material stress/strain relationship O-A-B. At point A on the ascending branch, the globally measured strains diverge from the locally measured strains, that is strain softening occurs such as $(\epsilon_1)_{asc} - (\epsilon_1)_{mat}$ at stress level σ_1 in the ascending branch, which increases substantially to $(\epsilon_1)_{des} - (\epsilon_1)_{mat}$ in the falling branch.

No matter whether the strain based properties are real material properties or pseudo material properties, the descending branch in Figure 2 poses a problem in simulations because it is necessary to define a boundary between those elements on the ascending branch and those on the descending branch. For example, an element at stress level σ_1 in Figure 2 may be softening with a strain $(\epsilon_1)_{des}$. However, an adjacent element with the same stress σ_1 , that is required for equilibrium, may still be on the ascending branch with a strain $(\epsilon_1)_{asc}$. Hence there is a step change in the strain $(\epsilon_1)_{des} - (\epsilon_1)_{asc}$ and the boundary where this occurs needs to be defined as in the use of plastic hinge lengths in moment-curvature (M/χ) analyses or elements of a discrete size for finite element analyses.

3.2 PI material properties

The partial interaction material properties are associated with slip across an interface. When the interface is between two adjacent concrete elements, then these are the shear-friction properties. When the interface is between reinforcement and the adjacent concrete then these are the bond-slip properties.

Shear friction properties

The shear friction mechanism is the rigid body movement of adjacent concrete elements across a potential sliding plane as illustrated in Figure 3, where τ is the shear stress along the sliding plane, δ is the relative slip between the adjacent concrete elements, σ_n the stress normal to the sliding plane, that is the active confinement applied across the sliding plane, and w is the relative separation of the adjacent elements due to shear sliding.




Figure 3. Shear friction mechanism

The sliding plane in Figure 3 can lie through an already formed crack in which case the element faces are simply the crack faces and w is the width of the crack that is the distance between element faces. The roughness or irregularity of the crack faces will cause the slip δ induced by the shear stress τ to widen the crack width w ; this mechanism is referred to as aggregate interlock. Alternatively, the sliding plane can lie through uncracked concrete. In this case, the shear forces can induce a distinctive herringbone formation of cracks and it is the rotation of the struts between the herringbone formation which induce both δ and w and eventually leads to a crack along the sliding plane.

The shear friction properties, that is the interaction between the parameters τ , σ_n , δ and w in Figure 3, are often depicted as in Figure 4 (Haskett et al 2011) and can be applied at discrete points in the analysis of a member. It is the combination of these parameters, such as those joined by a dashed line in Figure 4 that is τ_x , $(\sigma_n)_x$, δ_x and w_x which occur together at a discrete point. The shear-friction properties not only depend on the concrete compressive strength but also on other parameters such as the aggregate size and strength and mortar properties.




Figure 4. Shear friction properties

Bond-slip properties

Consider reinforcement attached to concrete as depicted in Figure 5(a). Prior to cracking there is full-interaction, that is there is no slip between the reinforcement and the adjacent concrete; there could be shear stresses across the interface due to the moment gradient which can be derived from the elementary mechanics of the shear flow (VAy/Ib) approach. However when the first or initial crack forms as in Figure 5(a), slip must occur between the reinforcement and the adjacent concrete, that is partial-interaction, to allow the crack to widen to w . The element to the right of the crack is shown in Figure 5(c). The force in the reinforcement is P and this induces slip along the interface δ as shown in Figure 5(d) which has a maximum value of Δ at the crack face and gradually diminishes to zero where there is full-interaction. This slip is resisted by interface shear τ as illustrated in Figure 5(e) which is generally at least an order of magnitude greater than that due to longitudinal shear when there is full interaction. The relationship between the interface slip δ and shear stress τ at a discrete point is the bond-slip property required for partial-interaction analyses.




Figure 5. Bond-slip mechanism

The bond-slip properties applicable at discrete points are invariably derived from pull-out tests. Typical shapes of these variations for externally bonded plates (EB), near surface mounted strips (NSM) and ribbed bars with plenty of cover, are shown in Figure 6 (Seracino et al 2007; Wu and Zhao 2012) where the maximum shear stress τ_{max} occurs at a slip δ_1 and the shear strength tends to zero at a slip δ_{max} which is also a measure of the bond ductility and beyond which there may be a frictional component not shown. The bond strength τ_{max} and ductility δ_{max} increase with reinforcement embedment; the EB plates being the weakest and least ductile whilst the ribbed bars are the strongest and most ductile. This is because increasing embedment increases the passive confinement across the interface sliding plane. For example, when the reinforcing bar in Figure 5(c) is pulled out of the concrete, the bar ribs act in the same way as aggregate interlock in Figure 3 causing a separation w between the bar and the adjacent concrete. This separation is resisted by the body of the concrete surrounding the reinforcement as in Figure 5(b) inducing normal or confining stresses σ_n across the concrete/reinforcement interface. These confining stresses only exist if slip occurs and, hence, they are passive. Therefore, the bond-slip τ/δ properties in Figure 6 are similar to the shear-friction τ/δ properties in Figure 4 except that the latter properties are due to active confinement whereas the former are due to passive confinement.




Figure 6. Bond-slip properties

4. Partial-interaction mechanisms

The PI material properties described in Section 3 cause the following PI mechanisms within RC members which are accommodated in strain based analyses through the use of pseudo-material properties.

4.1 Tension stiffening mechanism

Consider the RC prism in Figure 5(a) which consists of reinforcement of cross-sectional area A_r and modulus E_r encased by a concrete prism of area A_c and modulus E_c such that the axial rigidity of the prism prior to cracking is $E_r A_r + E_c A_c$. After a crack has formed as shown in Figure 5(a), the axial rigidity of the prism reduces but is greater than that of the reinforcement alone $E_r A_r$. This increase in stiffness is referred to as tension stiffening and can be allowed for in strain based analyses by the use of a pseudo material tension softening such as the path E-F in Figure 2 after the concrete has cracked in tension at f_t .

After the initial crack has developed in the prism in Figure 5(a), the total force in the prism is resisted by the force in the reinforcement P at the crack face as shown in Figure 5(c). The reinforcement force P induces an interface slip δ which has a maximum value at the crack face of Δ . This interface slip diminishes from Δ at the crack face to zero at the distance S_{cr} from the crack face where both the slip δ and the slip-strain $d\delta/dx$ reduce to zero which is the full-interaction boundary condition. At S_{cr} , the stress in the concrete reaches its maximum value and remains constant beyond. Hence the next crack, which will be referred to as the primary crack, can occur anywhere beyond S_{cr} from the crack face. The distance S_{cr} is, therefore, the minimum crack spacing of primary cracks and if there is a moment gradient in the beam it will be close to the actual crack spacing.

When the primary cracks have formed as shown in Figure 7(a), the mechanism changes from that in Figure 5(c) to that in Figure 7(b) where the prism is now symmetrically loaded and is of length S_{cr} . The variations in slip and shear in Figures 7(c) and (d) depend on the bond-slip properties in Figure 6. However, through the symmetry of loading in Figure 7(b), the interface slip and interface shear are zero at mid-length as shown and where the stress in the concrete is at its maximum. Hence if the bond is sufficiently strong and stiff and the reinforcement force sufficiently large to cause secondary cracking, the secondary cracks will occur midway between the primary flexural cracks so that in regions where secondary cracking occurs the crack spacing reduces to $S_{cr}/2$.




Figure 7. Tension-stiffening mechanism

4.2 Concrete softening mechanism in compression

Consider the prism in Figure 8 of length L , depth d and of cross-sectional area into the page of A_c and which is subjected to a uniform axial compressive stress σ_a . On gradual loading, the contraction of the prism is governed by the material strain along the path O-A in Figure 2 where the stress at A, σ_s , is the stress at the commencement of softening. When the axial stress exceeds the stress at the commencement of softening σ_s in Figure 2, a non-material deformation begins to develop usually along a single plane of weakness at an angle α as in Figure 8 where the angle of this sliding plane α depends on the Mohr-Coulomb frictional component of concrete and is usually about 26° (Mattock 1974; Rutland and Wang 1997; Harmon et al. 1998; Van Mier and Man 2009; Mohamed Ali M.S. et al 2010). The longitudinal deformation due to straining of the concrete material is given by $\sigma_a L / E_c$ where E_c is the modulus of the concrete at the stress σ_a from the path O-A-B in Figure 2.




Figure 8. Concrete softening mechanism

The longitudinal deformation due to sliding, ΔL in Figure 8 can be determined from the shear friction mechanism in Figure 3 and the shear friction properties in Figure 4. The axial force in the prism P_c in Figure 8 is $A_c \sigma_a$ and this can be resolved into a shear force T_c from which the shear stress along the sliding plane τ_x can be derived and a force normal to the sliding plane N_c from which the normal stress $(\sigma_n)_x$ can be derived. Hence from the shear friction material properties such as in Figure 4 the slip δ_x and crack width w_x can be derived when σ_a is applied. From the geometry of the sliding plane, the axial contraction ΔL can be derived. This axial contraction due to sliding ΔL in Figure 8 is independent of the length of the prism L .

The total contraction of the prism in Figure 8 is that due to material contraction $\sigma_a L / E_c$, that is $\epsilon_a L$ where ϵ_a is the material strain in the concrete, plus that due to shear sliding ΔL . Dividing this total contraction by the length of the prism L gives an effective axial strain ϵ_{eff} of $\epsilon_a + \Delta L / L$ where the first component ϵ_a is the material strain and the second component $\Delta L / L$ is a pseudo-strain which, as can be seen, is size dependent and which is the softening strain in Figure 2. Applying the same logic to the lateral expansion in Figure 8, the effective lateral strain is $\nu_c \epsilon_a + \Delta d / d$ where the former component is due to material expansion and the latter due to slip.

4.3 Shear sliding mechanism

Let us consider the behaviour of a sliding plane that intercepts reinforcement as illustrated in Figure 9(a). The shear is transferred across the sliding plane by the shear-friction mechanism in Figures 3 which is enhanced by confinement due to the reinforcing bar, which is referred to as the shear-sliding mechanism, and also by dowel action.

The shear sliding mechanism is illustrated in Figure 9(a) (Lucas et al 2012). The shear stress τ causes a slip δ which through the shear friction mechanism in Figure 3 causes the widening w as shown in Figure 9(a). This widening is resisted by the reinforcing bar through the tension-stiffening mechanism illustrated in Figure 5(c) where in this case the boundary condition can vary depending on the length of the reinforcement as shown in Figure 9(c): where the first boundary condition $d\delta/dx = \delta = 0$ is the full-interaction boundary condition; the second boundary condition $\delta = 0$ is when the end of the bar is fully anchored; and the third boundary condition $\epsilon_r = 0$ when the end of the bar is free to slide. This causes a tensile increase in the force in the reinforcement ΔP as shown in Figure 9(b) and an equal and opposite increase in the compressive confinement force across the sliding plane which can be converted to an increase in the compressive confinement stress $\Delta \sigma_n$. It can be seen that this is an example of passive confinement as the confinement gradually builds up with slip.

It is important to realise that the tensile increase in the reinforcement force ΔP in Figure 9(b) is exactly equal to the increase in the compressive confining force $\Delta \sigma_n A_c$ and that both of these resulting forces are in line. Hence there is no change in the overall equilibrium of the member but what does increase is the confinement across the sliding plane which can make shear sliding not only stronger but more ductile. Prior to shear sliding, there could already be either a tensile or compressive force in the reinforcement and the tensile increase ΔP is added to this algebraically. Similarly prior to sliding, there could be either a compressive or tensile force normal to the sliding plane and once again the compressive increase $\Delta \sigma_n$ is added to this algebraically. It can be seen that

confinement is now no longer an esoteric phenomenon but a force on a sliding plane that can now be quantified and the benefits of which, such as increased strength or ductility, can also be quantified.

If the normal stress σ_n to the sliding plane in Figure 9(a) remained constant such as at $(\sigma_n)_1$ along A-B-E in Figure 4, then sliding would cause a reduction in the shear stress τ from say points A to B as shown, so that the maximum shear capacity is the pre-sliding capacity. However, the reinforcement increases the confinement by $\Delta\sigma_n$ in Figure 9(b) to $(\sigma_n)_1 + \Delta\sigma_n$ in Figure 4 as explained which will increase the shear capacity such as to point C or point D depending on the increase in confinement. Hence the post-sliding capacity can be larger depending on the shear-sliding behaviour. Hence the pre-sliding capacity is always equal to or a lower bound to the shear capacity and the shear-sliding mechanism always improves the ductility.

The sliding plane resists shear through the shear-sliding mechanism which increases the tensile component of the reinforcement force ΔP in Figure 9. The shear along the sliding plane is also resisted by dowel action which also increases the axial tensile stresses within the reinforcing bars. The reinforcing bar has to resist both components, that is, they are not resisted independently by the reinforcement. Hence if dowel action is assumed to resist part of the shear then only a part of the capacity of the reinforcing bar is available to resist shear-sliding and vice versa. How much is resisted by each component is difficult to quantify. A simple approach is to assume that all of the shear is resisted by the shear sliding mechanism and ignore the dowel action and this appears to give good results.




Figure 9. Shear-sliding mechanism

5. Conclusions

A large amount of testing in the development of RC products and their associated design rules is required to provide pseudo material properties for the use of strain based analysis techniques. It has been shown in this paper that this is due to partial interaction material properties caused by slip between the reinforcement and concrete and between

cracked and uncracked concrete interfaces. The localised mechanisms that these partial-interaction material properties induce in RC members has also been identified in mechanics terms. Hence it is now possible to simulate with the help of partial interaction material properties the pseudo material properties often determined empirically and required for strain based approaches. This mechanics based alternative approach will require extra testing to determine the partial-interaction material properties but once these material properties have been quantified there is no limit to their application as they are generic properties that can then be used to derive pseudo material properties for any numerical simulation. This alternative approach is in contrast to the current approach of empirically determining pseudo material properties which are generally of limited accuracy, often very conservative and can only be used within the bounds of the testing regimes from which they were derived and, hence, require a large and ongoing amount of testing. The development of mechanics based pseudo material properties not only obviates the need to determine them through experimental testing but allows the development of mechanics models for use in RC member analysis which is the subject of the companion paper.

Acknowledgements

The financial support of the Australian research Council ARC Discovery project DP0985828 ‘A unified reinforced concrete model for flexure and shear’ is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Baker ALL (1956) *Ultimate load theory applied to the design of reinforced and prestressed concrete frames*. Concrete Publications Ltd., London.
- Bazant ZP (1972) Prediction of Concrete Creep Effects using Age-Adjusted Effective Modulus Method. *ACI Journal***69**:323-217.
- Birkeland PW and Birkeland HW (1966) Connections in Precast Concrete Construction. *ACI Journal Proceedings***63(3)**:345-368.
- Bresler B and Selna L (1964) Analysis of Time Dependent Behaviour of Reinforced Concrete Structures, Symposium of Creep in Concrete, *ACI Special Publication SP-9 (5)*:115-128.
- Chen Y, Visintin P, Oehlers DJ and Johnson AU (2013) Size dependent stress-strain model for unconfined concrete Accepted *ASCE Structures* 12/4/13
- Chen, G.M., Chen, J.F. and Teng, J.G. (2012) “Behaviour of FRP-to-concrete interfaces between two adjacent cracks: a numerical investigation on the effect of bondline damage”, *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 28, pp584-591, doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.08.074.
- Chen, J.F., Yuan, H. and Teng, J.G. (2007), "Debonding failure along a softening FRP-to-concrete interface between two adjacent cracks in concrete members", *Engineering Structures*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp259-270,

- Corley G W (1966) Rotation capacity of reinforced concrete beams. *ASCE Journal of the Structural Division* **92(10)**:121–146.
- Faber O(1927) *Plastic Yield, Shrinkage and Other Problems of Concrete and their Effects on Design*. Minutes of the Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers; 225, Part I, pp 27-73. London.
- Gupta AK and Maestrini SR (1990)Tension stiffening model for reinforced concrete bars. *Journal of Structural Engineering*,**116(3)**: 769-790.
- Harmon TG, Ramakrishnan S and Wang EH (1998) Confined concrete subjected to uniaxial monotonic loading. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics***124(12)**:1303-1309
- Haskett M, Oehlers DJ, Mohamed Ali MS and Wu C (2009a) Rigid body moment-rotation mechanism for reinforced concrete beam hinges. *Engineering Structures***31**:1032-1041.
- Haskett M, Oehlers DJ, Mohamed Ali and Wu C. (2009b) Yield penetration hinge rotation in reinforced concrete beams. *ASCE Structural Journal*, **135(2)**:130-138.
- Haskett M, Oehlers DJ, and Mohamed Ali MS (2010) Design for moment redistribution in RC beams retrofitted with steel plates. *Advances in Structural Engineering* **13(2)**:379-391
- Haskett M, Oehlers DJ, Mohamed Ali MS and Sharma SK (2011) Evaluating the shear-friction resistance across sliding planes in concrete. *Engineering Structures* **33**:1357-1364.
- Hofbeck JA, Ibrahim IO, and Mattock AH (1969) Shear Transfer in Reinforced Concrete. *ACI Journal Proceedings*, **66(2)**:119-128.
- Knight D, Visintin P, Oehlers DJ, Jumaat MZ (2013) “Incorporating Residual Strains in the Flexural Rigidity of RC members with Varying Degree of Prestress and Cracking” *Advances in Structural Engineering* 16(10):1701-1718.
- Lucas W, Oehlers DJ and Mohamed Ali MS (2011) Formulation of a shear resistance mechanism for inclined cracks in RC beams. *ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering***137(12)**:1480-1488.
- Lucas W, Oehlers DJ, Mohamed Ali MS and Griffith MC (2012) The FRP reinforced shear-friction mechanism. *Advances in Structural Engineering* **15(4)**: pp615-623.
- Mattock A H(1967) Discussion of rotational capacity of reinforced concrete beams by W. D. G. Corley. *ASCE Journal of the Structural Division* **93(2)**:519–522.
- Mansur MA, Vinayagam T, and Tan KH (2008) Shear transfer across a crack in reinforced high-strength concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* **20(4)**: 294-302.

- Mattock AH (1974) Shear transfer in concrete having reinforcement at an angle to the shear plane. *ACI Special publication* **42(2)**:17-42.
- Mohamed Ali MS, Oehlers DJ, and Griffith MC (2010) The residual strength of confined concrete. *Advances in Structural Engineering* **13(4)**:603-618.
- Muhamad R, Mohamed Ali MS, Oehlers DJ and Sheikh AH (2011) Load-slip relationship of tension reinforcement in reinforced concrete members. *Engineering Structures* **33**:1098-1106.
- Muhamad R, Oehlers DJ, and Mohamed Ali MS (2013) Discrete rotation deflection of reinforced concrete beams at serviceability. *Proc. ICE Structures and Buildings* **166(3)**:111-124.
- Oehlers DJ (2010a) The hunt for the elusive concept. Len Hollaway's special edition of *Advances in Structural Engineering* **13(5)**:pp755-772.
- Oehlers DJ, Haskett M, Mohamed Ali MS and Griffith MC (2010b) Moment redistribution in reinforced concrete beams. *Proceedings ICE, Structures and Buildings* **163(3)**:165-176.
- Oehlers DJ, Haskett M, Mohamed Ali MS, Lucas W, and Muhamad R (2011a) Our obsession with curvature in reinforced concrete modelling. *Advances in Structural Engineering* **14(3)**:399-412.
- Oehlers DJ, Mohamed Ali MS, Haskett M, Lucas, W, Muhamad R, and Visintin P, (2011b) FRP reinforced concrete beams – a unified approach based on IC theory. *ASCE Composites for Construction* **15(3)**:293-303.
- Oehlers DJ, Mohamed Ali MS, Griffith MC, Haskett M, and Lucas W (2012) A generic unified reinforced concrete model. *Proc. ICE Structures and Buildings* **165(SB1)**:27-49.
- Oehlers DJ, Visintin P, Chen JF and Ibell TJ (2013) Simulating RC members Part 2: displacement based analyses. *Accepted Proc. ICE Structures and Buildings*.
- Panagiotakos TB, and Fardis MN (2001) Deformations of reinforced concrete members at yielding and ultimate. *ACI Structural Journal* **98(2)**:135–148.
- Rutland CA, Wang ML (1997) The effects of confinement on the failure orientation in cementitious materials experimental observations. *Cement and concrete composites* **19**: 149-160.
- Seracino R, Raizal Saifulnaz MR, and Oehlers DJ. (2007) Generic debonding resistance of EB and NSM plate-to-concrete joints. *ASCE Composites for Construction* **11(1)**: 62-70.
- Teng, J.G., Yuan, H. and Chen, J.F. (2006), “FRP-to-concrete interfaces between two adjacent cracks: theoretical model for debonding failure”, *International Journal*

of Solids and Structures, Vol. 43, No. 18-19, pp5750-5778, doi:10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2005.07.023.

Van Mier JGM and Man HK (2009) Some Notes on Microcracking, Softening, Localization, and Size Effects. *International Journal of Damage Mechanics***18**:283-309.

Visintin P, Oehlers DJ, Wu C, and Griffith MC (2012) The reinforcement contribution to the cyclic behaviour of reinforced concrete beam hinges. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics* **41**(12):1591-1608.

Visintin P, Oehlers DJ, and Haskett M (2013) Partial-interaction time dependent behaviour of reinforced concrete beams *Engineering Structures***49**: 408-420.

Wu Z, Yoshikawa H, and Tanabe T (1991) Tension stiffness model for cracked reinforced concrete. *Journal of Structural Engineering* **117**(3):715-732.

Wu YF, Zhao XM (2012) Unified Bond Stress-Slip Model for Reinforced Concrete Accepted *ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering* 25/09/2012

